

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/789,746	WASHINGTON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Steven S. Paik	2876

**All Participants:**

(1) Steven S. Paik.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel Wasil (Reg. No. 45,303).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

59

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicant agreed to replace "the cassette lid" in line 4 of Claim 59 with -- a cassette lid --.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)